



INTERGOVERNMENTAL PROGRAMS

Brent Stoddard

Mission Statement:

The mission of the Intergovernmental Programs Department is to develop, represent and advocate the city’s legislative policy decisions by consistently and effectively interacting with other governmental and non-governmental entities.

Department Description:

The Intergovernmental Programs Department coordinates the legislative and external activities and programs of the city of Glendale. We carry out the state and federal legislative agenda adopted by the City Council. We research and review state and federal legislation impacting the city. We protect the city’s interests in various stakeholder processes and work to resolve City issues with external partners. We develop reports and policy recommendations to City Management and City Council. We staff the Mayor and Council on the various federal, state and regional policy committees they hold positions on including, the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG), the Regional Public Transit Authority (RPTA), Metro Light Rail, the Arizona Municipal Water Users Association (AMWUA), the Arizona League of Cities and Towns, the National League of Cities (NLC), Westmarc and others.

FISCAL YEAR 2013

GOALS	
Goal	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the Arizona Legislature, United States Congress and other governmental bodies.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Activities	Work with legislators, the Governor's office, Maricopa County Board of Supervisors, Congressman, other elected officials and local and regional decision making bodies to advocate for and against issues which impact Glendale residents.
Expected Outcomes (Perf. Measures)	Successful implementation of the city’s legislative agenda.
Time Commitment	The time commitment for this goal is ongoing.
Expected Challenges	Budget deficits and competing priorities.
Goal	Identify opportunities through the state and regional transportation agencies to keep on schedule or to accelerate the design and construction of transportation facilities and services critical to Glendale.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Activities	Actively work with Glendale, state and regional agency staff to ensure that the funding committed for Glendale projects continues. Look for creative strategies to secure funding to accelerate projects and services as appropriate.



MISSION AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES
Intergovernmental Programs

Expected Outcomes (Perf. Measures)	Reducing Glendale’s financial commitment to projects and moving forward additional projects currently delayed.
Time Commitment	The time commitment for this goal is ongoing.
Expected Challenges	Funding deficits for the transit, highway and arterial regional programs.

FISCAL YEAR 2012

Area of Innovation:

- Reduced the department budget by almost 12.4%.
- Eliminated contracts and successfully negotiated 10% reductions in remaining contracts.

Accomplishments:

- Led a successful effort to stop ADOT from turning Grand Avenue back to local jurisdictions. Negotiated agreement with West Valley cities to protect the expressway.
- Helped advance legislation sponsored by U.S. Representative Franks to protect the cities sports and entertainment district.
- Coordinated and led a mobile workshop highlighting Glendale’s sports and entertainment district for over 100 elected officials from across the country at the National League of Cities that was held in Phoenix.
- Secured new (non-Glendale) funds to construct a \$14.5 million HOV ramp project at Loop 101 and Maryland Avenue.
- Secured nearly \$500,000 from competitive regional funds for Bike and Pedestrian and highway safety projects in Glendale.
- Successfully secured over \$3.2M in transit CMAQ money specifically for city of Glendale preventative maintenance projects which will be distributed in FY13.
- Represented the city before the State Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC) and successfully advocated for the City Council’s desire for a reduction in the number of State legislative districts representing the City from 6 to 5. Successfully lobbied for two Congressional Districts to continue to cover Glendale. Successfully worked to ensure that Luke Air Force Base remained in a Glendale District, as the original proposed Commission maps had it being moved outside of a Glendale District.

GOAL UPDATES	
Goal	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the Arizona Legislature, United States Congress and other governmental bodies.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Was the goal met?	Yes.

What were the Performance Measures?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • We were successful in significantly reducing the impacts of harmful legislation to the city of Glendale. • We were successful in negotiating no new negative budgetary impacts on Glendale and were able to restore some previously swept funding. • We took the lead role in organizing lunch meetings with legislative leadership, the Governor and the mayors of the 6 largest cities in the State. • We helped to successfully advance legislation through the committee process in Congress which will help protect our sports and entertainment district.
Obstacles/Challenges	Budget deficits and competing priorities.
Goal	Identify opportunities through the state and regional transportation agencies to keep on schedule or to accelerate the design and construction of transportation facilities and services critical to Glendale.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Was the goal met?	Yes.
What were the Performance Measures?	We were successful in identifying new funds to construct a \$14.5 million HOV ramp project at Loop 101 and Maryland Avenue. It was a new project with no identified funding source. The critical project will now be completed with no local Glendale funds, instead it will be totally paid for by regional and state funding. We were successful in minimizing impacts on Glendale projects during highway/transit regional program re-balancing.
Obstacles/Challenges	Funding deficits for the transit, highway and arterial regional programs.

FISCAL YEAR 2011

Area of Innovation:

- Reduced the subscription level for the legislative tracking database saving over \$1,000.
- Instituted policy of double sided printing for large legislative bills, reducing paper usage significantly.

Accomplishments:

- Successful in getting annexation legislation passed by the state legislature to protect the City of Glendale.
- Successful in getting Maricopa Association of Governments to approve funding for the Northern Traffic Interchange saving Glendale approximately \$10 million and increasing the Loop 101 HOV lane budget by \$9 million to accommodate future construction of HOV ramps at Maryland Avenue.



MISSION AND PERFORMANCE MEASURES
Intergovernmental Programs

- Secured a \$150,000 public safety grant from the Governor’s Public Safety Stabilization Program (ARRA funding).
- Successfully negotiated new Regional Public Transportation Authority Transit Life Cycle Program Policies that were most beneficial to Glendale totaling \$37 million in services.

GOAL UPDATES	
Goal	Successfully advocate the city's position on issues at the Arizona Legislature, United States Congress and other governmental bodies.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Was the goal met?	Yes.
What were the Performance Measures?	We were successful in getting annexation legislation passed by the state legislature to protect the City of Glendale. We successfully stopped many bills that would have had devastating financial impacts on Glendale. We were successful in protecting the state shared revenue streams from the budget cuts at the legislature.
Obstacles/Challenges	The legislature introduced an overwhelming amount of anti-city legislation.
Goal	Increase federal issues the IGP Department becomes involved with and is actively engaged in at the federal level.
Related Council Goal	One community with high quality services for citizens.
Was the goal met?	Yes.
What were the Performance Measures?	Contacted and met with all of the Congressional offices in the greater metropolitan area. Advocated for Glendale’s priorities, resulting in the introduction of federal legislation to assist Glendale.
Obstacles/Challenges	The Congress put a one-year moratorium on earmarks and non discretionary spending.



INTERGOVT. PROGRAMS

FUND NUMBER / BUDGET BY PROGRAM	FY 2011 Actual	FY 2012 Budget	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Budget	Percent Over FY 2012 Budget
(1000) Intergovernmental Programs	\$711,739	\$686,721	\$671,875	\$640,658	-7%
Total - Intergovt. Programs	\$711,739	\$686,721	\$671,875	\$640,658	-7%

BUDGET BY CATEGORIES OF EXPENDITURES	FY 2011 Actual	FY 2012 Budget	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Budget	Percent Over FY 2012 Budget
Wages/Salaries/Benefits	\$406,800	\$427,410	\$427,410	\$427,423	0%
Supplies and Contracts	\$299,950	\$296,926	\$282,080	\$206,926	-30%
Internal Premiums	\$3,723	\$4,533	\$4,533	\$4,655	3%
Internal Service Charges	\$1,266	\$1,171	\$1,171	\$1,654	41%
Work Order Credits		(\$43,319)	(\$43,319)		
Total - Intergovt. Programs	\$711,739	\$686,721	\$671,875	\$640,658	-7%

FUND NUMBER / STAFFING BY PROGRAM	FY 2011 Actual	FY 2012 Budget	FY 2012 Estimate	FY 2013 Budget	Percent Over FY 2012 Budget
(1000) Intergovernmental Programs	4	4	4	4	0%
Total -Intergovt. Programs	4	4	4	4	0%